

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
at WINCHESTER

EDDIE CRAWFORD,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
)
MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,) Case No. 2:11-cv-300
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,)
)
Defendant.)
)

Judge Mattice
Magistrate Judge Lee

ORDER

United States Magistrate Judge Susan K. Lee filed her Report and Recommendation (Doc. 19) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b). Plaintiff has filed timely objections, and Defendant has responded to Plaintiff's objections. (Docs. 20-21).

The Court has reviewed the record *de novo*, including those portions of Magistrate Judge Lee's Report and Recommendation to which Plaintiff has objected. See Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 72(b). Plaintiff's objections raise no new arguments; instead, they are simply reiterations of issues he previously raised in his Motion for Summary Judgment on the Pleadings. (See Docs. 15-16, 20). Further analysis of these same issues would be cumulative and is unwarranted in light of Magistrate Judge Lee's well-reasoned and well-supported Report and Recommendation, in which she fully addressed Plaintiff's arguments.

Accordingly, the Court hereby **ACCEPTS** and **ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Lee's findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations pursuant to § 636(b)(1) and Rule 72(b). Plaintiff's objections (Doc. 20) are **OVERRULED**. Plaintiff's Motion for

Summary Judgment (Doc. 15) is **DENIED**. Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. 17) is **GRANTED**. The Commissioner's denial of benefits is **AFFIRMED**, and the instant action is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to close the case.

SO ORDERED this 3rd day of January, 2013.

/s/ Harry S. Mattice, Jr.
HARRY S. MATTICE, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE